1	KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321) United States Attorney		
2	EUMI L. CHOI (WVBN 0722) Chief, Criminal Division		
4 5 6 7 8	MARK L. KROTOSKI (CSBN 138549) Assistant United States Attorney 150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900 San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: (408) 535-5035 Facsmile: (408) 535-5066 Attorneys for Plaintiff		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
12	*E-FILED - 2/8/06*		
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 05-00734-RMW		
14	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
15	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION REGARDING EVALUATION REGARDING		
16	v.) EXCLUDABLE TIME AND) ORDER		
17	MOISES NUNEZ,) aka cartel, aka marvel, aka OTR,)		
18	Defendant.		
19			
20	It is hereby stipulated and agreed between defendant Moises Nunez, and his counsel Jerry		
21	Fong, and the United States as follows:		
22	This matter was set for a status conference on February 13, 2006 at 9:00 a.m. In this		
23	copyright infringement case, the defense needs more time to prepare, review discovery		
24	previously provided, including a substantial amount of digital evidence, and research legal and		
25	sentencing issues. The parties have been discussing plea and sentencing issues. In particular, the		
26	defense requests more time to assess valuation issues and criminal history issues before arriving		
27	at a final plea decision.		
28	The parties stipulate and move the Court to exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act from the		
	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME AND ORDER CR 05-00734-RMW		

Case 5:05-cr-00734-RMW Document 47-1 Filed 02/08/06 Page 2 of 3

1	February 13, 2006, until March 13, 2006, because the parties believe that the ends of justice		
2	served by the granting of such a continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the		
3	defendant in a speedy trial, particularly since reasonable time is needed for the defense to prepare		
4	for pretrial and trial matters, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A), 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii). The		
5	parties further stipulate that time may be excluded for reasonable time for defense		
6	preparation, since the failure to exclude time would deny counsel for the defendant reasonable		
7	time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence,		
8	pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A), 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).		
9	So stipulated.		
10	Dated: February, 2006	KEVIN V. RYAN United States Attorney	
11		Officed States Attorney	
12		MARK L. KROTOSKI	
13		Assistant United States Attorney	
14	So stipulated.		
15	Dated: February, 2006		
16		JERRY FONG	
17		Attorney for Defendant Nunez	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	1		

STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME AND ORDER CR 05-00734-RMW Page 2 of 3 $\,$

ORDER 1 2 Based upon the foregoing Stipulation and good cause appearing therefor, 3 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the status conference set for February 13, 2006 at 9:00 a.m. for defendant Martinez shall be continued to March 13, 2005 at 9:00 a.m. 4 5 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the time between February 13, 2006, until March 13, 6 2006 shall be excluded from the computation period within which the trial must commence, for 7 the reasons and based upon the statutory provisions set forth by the parties in this Stipulation, including that time is needed for effective defense preparation.. The Court finds that the ends of 8 justice outweigh the interests of the public and the parties in a speedier trial under 18 U.S.C. §§ 9 10 3161(h)(8)(A), 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii) (complexity), 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv) (reasonable time necessary for 11 effective preparation taking into account the exercise of due diligence). 12 DATED: February 8, 2006 /S/ Ronald M. Whyte 13 RONALD M. WHYTE United States District Judge 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28